

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/076,053	02/14/2002	Reddy Vangala	WC0010-A	8670	
7590 02/17/2004			EXAMINER		
Steven Wesem	ian	GLENN, KIMBERLY E			
CTS Wireless C			. David	D. 100 100 100	
171 Covington 1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Bloomingdale,	IL 60108	2817			
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1./
		Applica	nti n N .	Applicant(s)	
Offic Action Summary		10/076	,053	VANGALA ET AL.	
		Examin	er	Art Unit	
		Kimberl	y E Glenn	2817	
Peri d fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on (	the cover sheet w	vith the correspond nce addre	:ss
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common to period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the satutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a tatutory minimum of thi I will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this comm  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>30 October 20</u>	<u>003</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2	b)⊡ This action is	non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practic				erits is
Disp sit	ion of Claims				
5)□	Claim(s) $\frac{1.5}{5}$ , 6,8-21,28 and 32 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) $\frac{3 \text{ and } 4}{5}$ is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) $\frac{1,5,6,8-13,14-21,28 \text{ and } 32}{5}$ Claim(s) $\frac{2}{1}$ is/are objected to.  Claim(s) $\frac{1}{1}$ are subject to restrice	are withdrawn from	consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or ction to the drawing(s the correction is requ	) be held in abeya uired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	• •
Pri rity ι	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim for the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the Internation of the foreign lands acknowledgment is made of a claim for the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the	documents have be documents have be of the priority docur nal Bureau (PCT R n for a list of the ce or domestic priority d in the first sentence guage provisional a or domestic priority	een received. een received in A nents have beer ule 17.2(a)). rtified copies not under 35 U.S.C. ce of the specific application has b under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National State received.  § 119(e) (to a provisional application Date of the provision of the provi	pplication) ta Sheet. pecific
Attachmen	• •				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	TO-948) aper No(s) <u>8 / 8 /</u> 03		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	

## **DETAILED ACTION** Claim Objections

Claims 29-31 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims depend upon a cancelled claim. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 14-16, 21 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Komazaki (JP '905).

Komazaki (figs. 1 and 2) discloses a duplexer having a filter comprising: a substantially U-shaped core of dielectric material 100, 101, 102 including a transmit arm 100, a receive arm 101 and a base portion 102 joining the transmit arm to the receive arm, each arm having a series of through-holes; a wide area of metallization (i.e., each filter is covered with a ground conductor on the outer peripheral side surfaces), first and second unmetallized areas (open faces 103, 104) surrounding a plurality of through holes on the outward facing surface of the transmit arm and receive arm, respectively; a transmit pad, receiver pad and antenna pad metallized areas 108, 107, 109; and a bridge metallized area extending between the transmit arm and the receive arm (connected through a conductive layer on the base portion 102, see also abstract).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 6, 8-13 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komazaki (JP '905).

The specific size of the filter and operating passband frequency ranges are considered as an obvious design modification to obtain a desire filter characteristics.

Claims 17-20 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komazaki (JP '905) in view of Agahi-Kesheh et al. (US '335).

Komazaki does not show providing trap resonators in transmit and receive arms.

However, providing trap resonators in a duplexer is well known in the art. Agahi-Kesheh et al. discloses a similar duplexer having trap resonators 304, 336 in reception and transmit filters.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide trap resonators in transmit and receive arms in the device of Komazaki to provide attenuation poles as taught by Agahi-Kesheh et al. (col. 9, lines 5-8).

Regarding claims 19 and 20, Agahi-Kesheh et al. (fig. 3) also discloses metallization patterns on reception and transmit filters to obtain desired filter characteristics. Thus, providing metallization patterns similar to applicant's figures 2 and 3 in the device of Komazaki would be an obvious design modification since it is well known in the art to provide metallization patterns

Art Unit: 2817

on a surface of the dielectric filter to obtain a desired filter characteristic as shown in Agahi-Kesheh et al.

Regarding claim 32, Agahi-Kesheh et al. (figs. 2-4) discloses a bridge resonator hole 350, 358 is capacitively coupled to the antenna pad 392. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a capacitive coupling between the antenna pad and bridge metallized area in the device of Komazaki since such design technique is well known in the art.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/30/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regards to applicant argument that the Komazaki reference disclose the dielectric core in three separate pieces, applicants claim do not recited the limitation of the U shaped core being formed as a single core but merely states a U shaped core. Komazaki reference does disclose a U shaped core. With regard to the argument concerning the operating frequency ranges and the size of the filter, examiner cites Sakuragawa et al US Patent 6,472,953 column 7, lines 59-63 which states that the transmission band is 1850 to 1885 MHz and the reception band is 1930 to 1965. Kojima et al US Patent 6,677,837 disclose a filter comprised of dielectric block having a length of 18.8mm, a width of 4.1 mm. See column 5 lines 21-33. Hirai et al US Patent 5,576,672 disclose in column 14 lines 36-38 that a center frequency of 1800MHz the insertion loss is 2.3db or less. These reference show that is well known in the art for the

Art Unit: 2817

operating frequency range to be about 1800 to 1900 MHz for the transmitter and about 1930 to 1990 for the receiver, the insertion loss to about 2.5 db and for the filter size to be about 17mm long and 4 mm high.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ito US Patent 6,057,746 disclose a connecting means between the transmit filter and the receiver filter.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly E Glenn whose telephone number is (571) 272-1761. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 2817

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

BENNY T. LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2817

Kimberly E Glenn Examiner Art Unit 2817

keg